



DIFFERENTIAL GPS (DGPS) SITE OPERATIONAL ASSESSMENT

NDGPS Site: Austin, NV DGPS Site (798)
Inspector(s): CWO3 Louie Baytan
Date: 27 Sep 2015

REFERENCES

- (1) Broadcast Standard for the USCG DGPS Navigation Service, CIM 16577.1 (APR 1993).
- (2) Radio Technical Commission for Maritime Services (RTCM) Recommended Standards for Differential Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) Service, Version 2.3.
- (3) Differential Global Positioning System (DGPS) Concept of Operations, COMDTINST 16577.2 (AUG 1995).
- (4) 2014 Federal Radio Navigation Plan.

PURPOSE

- Validate advertised DGPS coverage of the Austin DGPS site.
- Validate required RTCM message scheduling and delivery.
- Test differential correction accuracy versus a predetermined survey monument.

EQUIPMENT

Trimble SPS461 Receiver
Trimble GA 530 Antenna
Dell Latitude E6320 Laptop

AUSTIN DGPS SITE PARAMETERS

Frequency	312 KHz
Forward Output Power	1000 W
Transmission Rate	100 baud
Field Strength/Range	75 μ V/m (37.5 dB μ V/m) at 250 km

SUMMARY

The Operational Assessment of the Austin DGPS site revealed that the provided coverage is consistent with the advertised range. Both northern and southern far-field signal strength readings were within the required signal strength. The signal strength measurements, within the advertised range were satisfactory. Additionally, a review of the output/reflected power and near-field signal strength levels was conducted and found to be satisfactory. All RTCM messages were verified, evaluated and are consistent with the requirements set forth by reference (1) and (2). Finally, accuracy measurements and analysis proved that at a distance of approximately 142 km from the broadcast site, the horizontal accuracy is sub-meter and within the 10 meter accuracy requirement as set forth by Reference (3) and (4).

RESULTS

Signal Strength

A verification of the Austin DGPS coverage area was conducted from Wendover, UT, to the site and to Las Vegas, NV. The advertised signal strength range is 250 km. Figure 1 displays adequate signal strength, beyond the advertised range of 250 km from the site and throughout the planned route in the predicted coverage area. Green points represent areas of satisfactory signal strength, whereas areas of unsatisfactory signal strength are represented with red points. As seen in Table 1 and Table 2, far-field signal strength readings were taken at northern and southern points of the advertised range from both sides of the site. Both northern and southern far-field readings were above the required 37.5 dB μ V/m signal strength on both sides.




Figure 1: Signal Strength Results

Side	Signal Strength	Signal to Noise ratio	Position
A	50 dB μ V/m	34 dB μ V/m	41° 3' 42.40" N, 115° 19' 0.74" W
B	50 dB μ V/m	33 dB μ V/m	

Table 1: North Far-Field Signal Strength Reading

Side	Signal Strength	Signal to Noise ratio	Position
A	43 dB μ V/m	30 dB μ V/m	37° 10' 52.04" N, 116° 55' 59.30" W
B	43 dB μ V/m	29 dB μ V/m	

Table 2: South Far-Field Signal Strength Reading

RTCM Message Verification

Table 3 and Table 4 shows RTCM message scheduling, receipt, and content collected during the assessment. RTCM message scheduling on both Side A and Side B was validated with the DGPS watch and is in accordance with the Reference (1). Receipt of all RTCM messages was validated utilizing a Remote Desktop Session whereby the assessment team witnessed the on-time receipt of all messages on the active and standby Integrity Monitor computers. All message content was verified and is in accordance with Reference (2).

Message Type	Received	Scheduled	Content Verified/Accurate
<i>Type 3</i>	Y	Y	Y
<i>Type 5 (ensure message is not being transmitted)</i>	N	N	N/A
<i>Type 7</i>	Y	Y	Y
<i>Type 9</i>	Y	Y	Y
<i>Type 16</i>	Y	Y	Y

Table 3: Side A RTCM Message Validation

Message Type	Received	Scheduled	Content Verified/Accurate
<i>Type 3</i>	Y	Y	Y
<i>Type 5 (ensure message is not being transmitted)</i>	N	N	N/A
<i>Type 7</i>	Y	Y	Y
<i>Type 9</i>	Y	Y	Y
<i>Type 16</i>	Y	Y	Y

Table 4: Side B RTCM Message Validation

Accuracy Validation

Positional data was collected for 10 minutes per side using the Trimble SPS461. The data was then post processed and compared to a National Geodetic Survey (NGS) marker (Table 5) to verify the horizontal accuracy of the broadcast correction (Table 6 and 7). Side A was 0.4394 meters, bearing 033.485 from the monument, while Side B was 0.1826 meters, bearing 113.5642° from the monument. As per Reference (3) and (4), both respective distances were within advertised accuracy requirements. A comparison between the GPS satellites in view at the Austin DGPS site and at the NGS monument location was conducted (Table 8) to identify any differences in the GPS satellite geometry used at the respective locations; any differences in geometry could lead to accuracy discrepancies. In this case, the satellites being tracked by the Reference Station at the site had few similarities to that at the NGS monument location, while

satellites tracked by the Integrity Monitor GPS receivers at the site were almost identical to those tracked at the NGS monument location. A two dimension radial review of the same time period was completed for the integrity monitors. Side A's average deviation was 0.21215 meters; Side B's average deviation was 0.22294 meters. Both findings were consistent with the findings observed in the field and are within system parameters.

NGS Monument ID:	LR0722
Monument LAT:	40° 38' 18.78677" N
Monument LON:	116° 56' 30.13657" W
Distance from DGPS Site	142.2 km

Table 5: NGS Monument ID

Averaged LAT:	40° 38' 18.79863" N
Averaged LON:	116° 56' 30.12623" W
Distance from Monument:	0.4394 m (1.441601 ft)
Bearing from Monument:	033.485°

Table 6: Side A Accuracy Check Results

Averaged LAT:	40° 38' 18.78441" N
Averaged LON:	116° 56' 30.12943" W
Distance from Monument:	0.1826 m (0.599081 ft)
Bearing from Monument:	113.5642°

Table 7: Side B Accuracy Check Results

<i>Antenna Location</i>	<i>GPS Satellites Tracked (PRN)</i>											
Reference Station A	5	7	9	10	19	23	27	28	30			
Integrity Monitor A	1	2	5	12	13	15	18	20	21	25	26	30
Reference Station B	5	7	9	10	19	23	27	28	30			
Integrity Monitor B	1	2	5	12	13	15	18	20	21	25	26	30
NGS Monument Location, Side A	2	5	12	13	15	18	20	21	25	26	29	
NGS Monument Location, Side B	2	5	12	13	15	18	20	21	25	26	29	

Table 8: GPS Satellite Comparison

NAVCEN INTERNAL ONLY: The following information will be placed in an OA index for internal tracking purposes and removed before posting.

Discrepancies

No discrepancies noted

RECOMMENDATION

No changes recommended.

#