COMPLIANCE WITH SHIPS' ROUTEING MEASURES

1. To facilitate the gathering of information on the extent to which ships comply with existing ships' routing measures adopted by the Organization, the Maritime Safety Committee, at its sixty-sixth session (28 May to 6 June 1996), approved the annexed forms and invited Governments to consider and use them when reporting instances of non-compliance with traffic separation schemes and other routing measures.

2. The forms are also intended to assist Administrations to provide, for use by Governments that have implemented routing systems, appropriate information regarding any problems found with the use of existing routing measures by ships flying their flags. Governments may then, when reviewing the routing measures concerned, use any information obtained from the said forms.

***
ANNEX

NON-COMPLIANCE WITH TRAFFIC SEPARATION SCHEMES

1. Location of IMO-adopted TSS:

2. Estimated/actual* ship movements both within and crossing the scheme in 199___:

   Number of contraventions in 199___:

3. Navigational/safety reasons given by masters for contraventions (i.e. those reasons which bring into question the validity of the scheme):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Number of ships</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Rule most frequently contravened:

5. Report submitted by (Administration):

   Date: ________________________ / __________ / __________

*Delete as appropriate

**Only complete as many lines as necessary

[ACIRC\SNM181]
GUIDANCE NOTES

1 This form should only be completed for those schemes where information is readily available.

2 Based on estimation or fact, set out the annual number of ships using the TSS. That will include ships in transit throughout all or part of the traffic lanes, as well as ships crossing those lanes.

3 Set out the most common reasons given by masters for non-compliance with the scheme. Only record those navigational or safety reasons which bring into question the validity of the scheme. This will help coastal States to reflect on whether:

   .1 the scheme needs to be changed before it is made mandatory; or whether,

   .2 the scheme needs to be made mandatory at all.

Against each reason, give the number of ships concerned. This will help coastal States to judge the weight of the evidence.

4 State which rule of the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 is most often contravened.

5 State the National Administration reporting and indicate when the form was completed.
NON-COMPLIANCE WITH "OTHER" ROUTEING MEASURES

1  Type and location of IMO-adopted Routeing measures:
   Type: ____________________________  Location: ____________________________

2  Estimated/actual* annual ship movements in and around the area in 199 __:
   Number of infringements reported in 199 __:

3  Navigational/safety reasons given by masters for infringement (i.e. those reasons which bring into question the validity of the Recommendation):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Number of ships</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5  Report submitted by (Administration): ____________________________
   Date: ____________________ / ____________________ / ____________________

*Delete as appropriate
**Only complete as many lines as necessary.

1/3/3/181
GUIDANCE NOTES

1 This form should only be completed for those schemes where information is readily available.

2 Based on estimation or fact, set out the annual number of ships either:

   1 using a specific area covered by an IMO-backed routing recommendations; or

   2 using that area and its surroundings. This is particularly important in the case of an area to be avoided (ATBA)

3 Set out the most common navigational or safety reasons given by masters for non-compliance with the recommendation. Only record those navigational or safety reasons which bring into question the validity of the recommendation. This will help coastal States to reflect on whether:

   (a) the recommendation needs to be changed before it is made mandatory; or whether,

   (b) the recommendation needs to be made mandatory at all.

Against each reason, give the number of ships concerned. This will help coastal States to judge the weight of the evidence.

4 State the National Administration reporting and indicate when the form was completed.